

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES PEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,483 10/12/2000		10/12/2000	Kevin H. Storm	P32685	9497
20462	7590	09/13/2006		EXAMINER	
SMITHKI	INE BEE	CHAM CORPOR	LEVY, NEIL S		
CORPORA	TE INTEL	LECTUAL PROPE	RTY-US, UW2220		
P. O. BOX	1539			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KING OF F	RUSSIA,	PA 19406-0939	1615		

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/689,483	STORM ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		NEIL LEVY	1615
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 July</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 13,18 and 69-235 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13,18,69-235 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	ate
Paper	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>iル</u> コノン3	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim78-85, 93-168, 230-235 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language used is not standard Markush, "...from the group consisting of..." and thus it is unclear if in 78-85, 231, 233, 235 salts refer to the last compound listed, or to all. The "and" of claim 230-235 adds further confusion. claim 121 needs an "in".

Double Patenting

Claims 204,209 –213 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6136345 or 6358528 to Grimm et al , in view of PDR and Bax 6214359..

There is no acid required in the instant claims, Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Grimm shows the instant tablets, but not their use, except in general. PDR delineates use, while Bax shows equivalence of amoxicillin forms.

Claim13, 18, 69-130, 141-218 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1, 13, 15-19, 21, 23-44, 60, 61, 68-80 of U.S. Patent No. 675605. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because The patent claims are to the instant dosage forms, of the same materials and ratios of ingredients, so would provide the same results, thus are self evident to the artisan, even though the claim language differs as to the specific amounts and parameters of effects recited. Determination of specific amounts and ratios of ingredients would be a function of the sex, age, size and species of patient.

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 13,70-205,219-235 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-58 of copending Application No. 09/681055. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because The tablets of the patent provide the instant formulations intended.

.This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's amendments have generated new rejection and continued rejection, but where persuasive, have resulted in withdrawal of rejections of 2/22/06 office action.

Applicant's arguments regarding rejection over GRIMM are persuasive, the double patenting rejection is withdrawn, as to the 20:1 to 1:2 ratios, but not over claim 204. The 102 rejections over GRIMMETT are also withdrawn, as are that over BURCH.

Claim 205, if amended to include claim 223 acids and claim 156 release excepients, would be allowable over the art of record.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615